Doca ode: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademsk Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)	
		AFEI:002US	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail	Application Number		Filed
in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	10/077,61	8	February 15, 2002
on May 31, 2006	First Named Inventor		
Signature / Mark Have 10	Alexander J. Fiegl		
	Art Unit	E	xaminer
name Mark T. Garrett	3731		Parwin P. Erezo
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.			
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.			
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
I am the applicant/inventor.			meth ignature
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)			Garrett or printed name
attorney or agent of record. Registration number44,699	. 		536-3031 hone number
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.		May 3	31, 2006
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	_		Date
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.			

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mall Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

forms are submitted.

*Total of _

Arguments in Support of Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review for 10/077,618

I. The Anticipation Rejection of Independent Claims 1, 31, 64 and 70 and Their Rejected Dependent Claims over Ainslie Is Not Factually Supported.

Each of the rejected independent claims recites a needle connected to a length of suture. The Office asserts that the flexible cable 10 disclosed in Ainsle meets the claimed suture limitation. Flexible cable 10 is shown in both FIGS. 12 and 13 of Ainslie.

Ainslie's flexible cable 10 is not a suture by any reasonable standard of claim interpretation. A suture is something that is capable of stitching two portions of body tissue together; it is the material that is capable of actually holding the two tissue portions together. There is no teaching or suggestion in Ainslie that flexible cable 10 possesses such a capability. Furthermore, the Office provides no evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art—giving the term suture its broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with Applicant's specification—would read the term "suture" broadly enough to cover Ainslie's flexible cable 10.

For these reasons, the Office has not carried its burden of establishing a *prima facie* case of anticipation and should withdrawn the rejections.

25655702.1 -1-